



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KOPPEL, PATRICK & HEYBL
555 ST. CHARLES DRIVE
SUITE 107
THOUSANDS OAKS, CA 91360

COPY MAILED

MAY 24 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Jeffrey F. DeNatale, Robert E. Mihailovich,	:	
Jonathan B. Hacker, Gabriel M. Rebeiz and	:	
Guan-Leng Tan	:	
Application No. 10/676,875	:	DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Filed: September 30, 2003	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Title: 1:N MEM SWITCH MODULE	:	

This is in response to the "Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," filed February 19, 2004. The petition was recently forwarded to this office for review.

The petition is dismissed.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on September 30, 2003 without a fully executed oath or declaration and naming Jeffrey F. DeNatale, Robert E. Mihailovich, Jonathan B. Hacker, Gabriel M. Rebeiz and Guan-Leng Tan as joint inventors.

Accordingly, on December 22, 2003, a "Notice to File Missing Parts of Application" was mailed, requiring an executed oath or declaration, and a surcharge for its late filing.

In response, on February 19, 2004, the instant petition was filed.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires:

- (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings);

- (2) an acceptable oath or declaration;
- (3) the petition fee; and
- (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor.

Applicant lacks items (1), (2) and (4), as set forth above.

As to item (1),

Regarding Gabriel M. Rebeiz, applicant appears to demonstrate that the non-signing inventor was only presented with the declaration. Unless the non-signing inventor was presented with a copy of the application papers (specification, claims and drawings), the non-signing inventor could not attest that he has "reviewed and understands the application papers" and therefore could not sign the declaration which he was given. Accordingly, Rule 47 applicant failed to show or provide proof that the inventor has refused to sign the declaration. See MPEP 409.03(d). Petitioner should show that a copy of the application papers was presented to the non-signing inventor, but that he did not respond to, or refused, the request that he sign the oath/declaration in order to show that the inventor has refused to join in the application. The proof of the pertinent events should be made by a statement of someone with first hand knowledge of the events.

Regarding Guan-Leng Tan, applicant has failed to show that the inventor cannot be reached. Applicant has simply stated that inventor's contact information is not available. However, applicant has not submitted a statement of facts that describes any diligent efforts applicant has used to locate the non-signing inventor thereafter.

Where inability to find or reach a non-signing inventor "after diligent effort" is the reason for filing under 37 CFR 1.47, a statement of facts should be submitted that fully describes the exact facts which are relied on to establish that a diligent effort was made. The statement of facts must be signed, where at all possible, by a person having firsthand knowledge of the facts recited therein. Statements based on hearsay will not normally be accepted. Copies of documentary evidence such as internet searches, telephone directory searches, certified mail return receipts, cover letters of instructions, telegrams, that support a finding that the non-signing inventor could not be found or reached should be made part of the statement. The steps taken to locate the whereabouts of the non-signing inventor should be included statement of facts. It is important that the statement contain facts as opposed to conclusions. See MPEP 409.03(d).

As to item (2), an oath or declaration for the patent application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.64 still has not been presented. The declaration submitted September 30, 2003, does not set forth the citizenship, residence or mailing address of non-signing inventor Guan-Leng Tan. An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.64 is REQUIRED. See MPEP 409.03(a). Please note, applicant may consider the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 to waive the appropriate requirements regarding the address information, however, the citizenship requirement is statutory and cannot be waived.

As to item (4), a statement of non-signing inventor Guan-Leng Tan's last known address is missing and is required. Please note, applicant may consider the filing of a petition under 37 CFR 1.183 to waive this requirement.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petition
 Commissioner for Patents
 Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313

By FAX: (571) 273-8300
 Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Customer Service Window
 Randolph Building
 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3228.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'E. Tannouse', followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Edward J. Tannouse
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy